



COMPLAINT AFFIDAVIT

Human Rights Violator and/or city entity number: **NUMEROUS JUSTICES, PROSECUTOR, COURT CLERK**

Full Name or Name of Agency: **WAREHAM DISTRICT COURT**

Name of City that agency is domiciled: **WAREHAM, Massachusetts**

City Type: Municipal: **YES** Metropolitan: **No** Unincorporated: **No** (Answer Yes or No)

NAC Code of City: **93230 PZ072** Time: **09:40 a.m.** Date: **October 26, 2017**

Human Right Article Violated:

Articles 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 12, 13, 17, 20

Brief Narrative:

Received first page, copied; of Motion to Dismiss submitted to WDC. Unidentified individual scribbled, "Motion Denied."

Court:

In the: Human Rights Tribunal International: **No** General Post Master Council: **Yes**

Human Rights Defender Proclamation #:

NOTICE: FAILURE TO APPEAR BY TELECONFERENCE, ANSWER ANY MOTION, OR SHOW ANY CONTEMPT WILL RESULT IN AN AUTOMATIC GUILTY VERDICT WHEREIN THE HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATOR WILL BE PUNISHED WITH A MINIMUM OF A 750,000.00 FINE FOR DAMAGES, POSSIBLE EXILE DEPENDING UPON THE SEVERITY OF THE VIOLATION AND A POSSIBILITY OF THE CASE BEING MOVED TO THE WAR CRIMES TRIBUNAL FOR INTERNATIONAL NEFARIOUS ACTS AGAINST THE VICTIM. THE RESPONDENT IS NOT REQUIRED TO SIGN THIS CITATION IN ORDER TO RECEIVE DUE PROCESS. THE RESPONDENT WILL RECEIVE NOTICE AND SERVICE OF PROCESS BY FAX FROM AN INTERNATIONAL NOTARY PRESENTER. THE HUMAN RIGHTS DEFENDER AND OR CLAIMANT IS REQUIRED TO APPEAR UPON THE DATE SCHEDULED BY THE CLERK. ANY JUDGMENT AS IT PERTAINS TO THIS CITATION WILL BE PUBLISHED WITHIN A PUBLICATION OF RECORD. PENALTIES FOR HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS ARE ENFORCEABLE UNDER CUSTOMARY INTERNATIONAL LAW AGAINST THE HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATOR.

*Sandra Lynn Gullan-Lester*

This is an ongoing matter, whereas file can be located within the court jackets at the GPMC and SEVERAL HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS HAVE OCCURRED. Wareham District Court continues to violate Affiant's Human Rights despite being served a Change of Venue twice by the International Notary. Affiant does not have a contract with the state of Massachusetts for a driver's license and does not wish to contract and terminate intangible property rights. Further, court would not permit Affiant to schedule a pre-trial in a timely manner with the judge indicating that he would, "Consider the Motion to dismiss." Yet another attempt to dishonor Affiant's nationality, restrict Affiant's movement, and compel Affiant to belong to an association.